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Abstract

A hernia is defined as a protrusion of an organ or its fascia through a sac of its containing cavity. When an appendix is contained within such a sac, the hernia is termed an Amyand Hernia and it forms an extremely rare presentation. Commonly seen in the young male patient, this type of hernia like all others can undergo strangulation leading to complications including appendicitis with or without perforation. Management as described in literature will depend on clinical presentation of the hernia and the appendix within, however it should be catered to each patient individually. We describe below the diagnosis and management of such hernias as presented in the literature.
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Background

Hernia and appendicitis form two of the most frequently encountered surgical pathologies. These occurring together however a rare presentation is termed an Amyand Hernia. Mostly discovered intra-operatively, these hernias are now being frequently diagnosed pre-operatively with Ultrasounds and CT scans. Surgical treatment depends on the condition of the appendix but generally involves appendectomy with some form of hernia repair, with or without mesh based on surgical site contamination risk and surgeon’s assessments and expertise. Even though the treatment options have been universalized with Lasonoff and Basson’s classification, more trials are needed to ascertain its effectiveness and further provide with treatment options which can be catered to each patient individually.

Literature Review

The presence of a vermiform appendix contained within a hernia sac was first reported by Claudius Amyand, a French born English Surgeon in 1735 in London, who operated on a 11 year old boy for an inguinal hernia repair and found an inflamed perforated appendix in the sac [1-3]. The hernia hence received its name after this pioneer surgeon. Hernia with all its types form an extremely common presentation in the province of surgery, an Amyand Hernia however, still remains one of its very rare varieties. The incidence reported in literature varies between 0.19-1.7% [1]. It is commonly seen in young male patients, however, age ranges from 3 weeks to 92 years have been highlighted in the literature [1,2]. Although common in the inguinal hernia, the appendix has been reported to be found in incisional, obturator and umbilical hernias [2]. The presence of appendicitis within the sac is attributed to compression at the neck of the sac with resulting ischaemia and infection [3,4] which is an even rarer presentation accounting for 0.1% of all inguinal hernias [2,3,5]. Preoperative diagnosis is often a rare occurrence due to the fact that investigations are not usually ordered for a clinically diagnosed hernia and as such the diagnosis is made intraoperatively in vast majority of times, however more recently, ultrasound and CT scanning has shown most promise in picking up these kind of hernias in the preoperative period where they are ordered to diagnose or rule out abdominal pathology [1,2,5]. The management of such a hernia is dictated by the condition of the appendix within. Approach for surgery should be based on each clinical picture. Appendectomy through herniotomy with primary hernia repair will be the ideal treatment for such hernias in contrast to lower midline laparotomy which should be reserved for cases where complications are suspected [1]. Primary repair of the hernia with a mesh in the absence of appendicitis and avoiding mesh placement for hernia repair in presence of appendicular complications, seems to be a consensus seen there and again in literature review [1-4]. One study did highlight that use of mesh should not be determined by the condition of the appendix, provided intra operatively the cavity and incision site is thoroughly irrigated, drains placed and post operatively the patient remains on antibiotics [1]. The decision ultimately will depend based on many clinical aspects being kept in mind but as a general recommendation, literature review highlighted a classification system along with management...
options outlined by Losanoff and Basson, as described in (Table 1) [1-5].

**Table 1**: Classification of Amyand’s hernias after Losanoff and Basson.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Surgical Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>Normal appendix within an inguinal hernia</td>
<td>Hernia reduction, mesh repair, appendectomy in young patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis within an inguinal hernia, no abdominal sepsis</td>
<td>Appendectomy through hernia, primary endogenous repair of hernia, no mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis within an inguinal hernia, abdominal wall or peritoneal sepsis</td>
<td>Laparotomy, appendectomy, primary repair of hernia, no mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis within an inguinal hernia, related or unrelated abdominal pathology</td>
<td>Manage as types 1 to 3 hernia, investigate or treat second pathology as appropriate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where appendix is found to be inflamed, suppurative or perforated, a Shouldice repair is recommended based on its low recurrence rate [4]. More and more of such hernias are being managed via a laparoscopic approach, with conversion to open in the presence of complications [1].

With the development of biological and more advanced mesh for hernia repair, more studies and trials are needed to direct treatment of such hernias in the presence of complications, [3] enabling use of mesh in unfavorable conditions to reduce recurrence without added risk of infection.
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